IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.13793 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: August 31, 2006

M/s Rohit Trading Co.

….Petitioner

VERSUS

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and another

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Suman Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

Notice of motion to the respondents.

On the asking of Court, Shri Vinod S. Bhardwaj, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Copies of the writ petition have been supplied to the learned counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner has approached this Court making a grievance that although the action of the respondents in issuing notices dated April 15, 2004, May 27, 2004 and October 20,2004 was totally illegal and bad and a representation (Annexure P.4) and another representation dated April 21, 2006 (Annexure P.8) had been filed by the petitioner but no action whatsoever has been taken for redressal of the grievance of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and also the fact that the petitioner has already filed representations before the respondents, we dispose of the present petition with a direction to the Managing Director, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited, respondent No.1 to take a final decision on the aforesaid representations (Annexures P.4 and P.8) within a period of four months from the date a certified copy of this order is received, by passing a detailed and speaking order.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

August 31,2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge